

Forrester

204
11/11
Hornb. 90

By

Inf.

In blank

The day this cause came on to be heard at the papers formerly read, and on the report of Commissioner Briggs and Eugene Wade personally to draw on the case as decided at June 1849, and the facts Commissioner, instead of holding in view what is existing law, and the Court enforcing laws, reports, with advantage, order and decorum, that the Commissioner took of the amount of \$652.00 in which had first pay any expenses that may have occurred since the signing of the last decree in the case, and that distribute the balance among the parties without regard to their respective rights.

Baird

13
Paisley 70

Ref

12/12/19

John Henry

This Cause this day came on again to be heard at the request formerly aforesaid and so the Report of Commissioner Pemberton was read pursuant to a previous Court order in this Cause at the November Term 1846, and the Court Conforming the same, doth adjudge, Order and decree, that Wm. H. Pemberton (who is hereby appointed a special Commissioner for that purpose,) pay to and receive the sum of \$350.00 against the said Bailey and Joseph H. Kent, (about the date he delivered in to him,) and out of the balance he pays, the first paying any expense that may have been incurred in this Cause since the delivery of the said decree, and the balance he distribute amongst the parties entitled to.

Garrison

25-
W. J. W. 4.2

Jeff

25/12

Ed. Lansing

(This day, this Court came on to the hearing of the third answer of the infant Supt. Charles F. and George S. Horner, by L. R. Edwards who is against their appointment as tutors, general explanation should not only argue of course, to their disqualification, but the Court took at once brand at record, that W. H. Briggs be not his tutor, especially as approved Commissioner is also the father of the two not properly in the present case. He stated, which brought in the infant's friend, J. and J. H. Horner, that he admitted the same fact of direct family, though pointing to the fact that, that he knew the same as a brother of Charles Briggs, admitting the right in Court to pay the expenses of his son's study, taking good the provisions of a bond with approved persons, Security Company, interest paid, debt, and receive the full value of the provisions money is paid. But the effect of this bond is not dependent unless in Court W. H. Briggs should enter into that (continued according to Court, in the presence of J. and J. H. Horner) declared, as it is good and sufficient Security, (and he is further directed to report his proceedings under this order to this Court in order to a final decree.)

Order to a friend above.